

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,802		04/27/2001	Takao Noguchi	206645US0	2819
22850	7590	08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				SONG, MATTHEW J	
1940 DUKE	,	•	ER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA	22314		1722	

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/842,802	NOGUCHI ET AL.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communica	Matthew J. Song	1722				
Period for Reply	uon appears on the cover sneet wi	ur die correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CAST CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. Ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>10 August 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-9</u> is/are pending in	the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 5-9 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	ivaminar					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		` `				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to be						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 LLS C. S	(110(a) (d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	Toreign priority under 35 0.5.C. §	119(a)-(u) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority do		oplication No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of t						
application from the International	· ·	· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO 		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

0

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections Withdrawn

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-6 of the remarks, filed 8/10/2006, with respect to the 35 USC 103 rejection in view of Noguchi and Tarui et al have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-2 and 5-9 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 11-312801), an English Abstract and computer translation (CT) have been provided, in view of Nashimoto et al (US 6,078,717).

In a method of a single orientation metal film for use in a ferroelectric film, note entire reference, Noguchi et al teaches a laminated thin film comprising a single crystal substrate of Si, a ZrO₂ thin film, a Y₂O₃ thin film and a Platinum (Pt) thin film (CT [0055]). Noguchi et al also teaches a buffer layer containing at least one sort of Zr oxide and rare earth element oxide is prepared and a metal film having a single (100) orientation is directly formed on the buffer (CT [0065]), this clearly suggests applicant's buffer layer including an oxide thin film of zirconium and an electrically conductive layer having (100) or (001) orientation directly on the oxide thin film. Noguchi et al also teaches the a variety of electronic devices, such as an optical modulator, may be formed by laminating a ferroelectric film on the laminated thin film (CT [0067]).

Page 3

Noguchi et al does not teach forming a perovskite epitaxially on the buffer and forming a ferroelectric thin film having (100) and (001) orientation.

In a method of forming an optical device, note entire reference, Nashimoto et al teaches forming a platinum (100) layer on a substrate, epitaxially forming a perovskite layer comprising SrTiO₃ (100) and epitaxially forming a PZT (100) layer, thereon (col 30, ln 60 to col 31, ln 15 and col 10, ln 45-55). Nashimoto et al teaches a PZT, this clearly suggests applicant's ferroelectric film because applicant's teach a ferroelectric film comprising PZT, note instant claim 5.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Noguchi et al by forming a perovskite and ferroelectric layer on a platinum layer to form as useful waveguide device, as taught by Nashimoto et al.

Referring to the limitation requiring a ferroelectric film having (100) and (001) orientation, the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al does not teach a ferroelectric

film having (100) and (001) orientation, however this feature is expected to occur because the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al teaches forming the ferroelectric film over a Si substrate, as applicants. Applicants teach a ferroelectric film may have a 90° domain structure comprising (100) and (001) oriented crystals under the influence of stresses from the Si substrate. Therefore, the ferroelectric film taught by the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al is expected to have (100) and (001) oriented crystals because the crystals would be influenced by the Si substrate in a similar manner, as taught by applicant.

Referring to claim 2, the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al teaches the SrTiO₃ has a resistivity of less than 10⁴ ohm-cm ('717 col 4, ln 10-65), this clearly suggests applicant's perovskite film has insulating properties because the film has a resistance.

Referring to claim 5, the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al teaches PZT ('717 col 31, ln 1-15).

Referring to claim 6, the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al teaches an optical waveguide device ('717 Abstract), this clearly suggests applicant's electronic device.

Referring to claim 7, the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al teaches epitaxial deposition of a perovskite and ferroelectric layer ('717 col 30, ln 60 to col 31, ln 15) and forming a buffer layer on a Si (100) substrate ('801 English Abstract).

Referring to claim 8, the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al teaches ZrO₂ (Abstract).

Referring to claim 9, the combination of Noguchi et al and Nashimoto et al teaches Y_2O_3 (*801 CT [0055] and [0022]-[0023]).

Application/Control Number: 09/842,802 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 5-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,802

Art Unit: 1722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew J Song Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1722

MJS August 21, 2006

ijpervisory patent examiner Technology center 1700